Package 03

TABLE OF CONTENT

		Pages
Lett	er of Transmittal	i
Exec	cutive Summary	ii
Abb	reviation And Acronyms	iii
Tab	le of Content	iv-vi
Cha	pter 1: Introduction	1
1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.2	Extent and Nature of Traffic and Transportation Study	1
1.3	Study Area Profile	1
1.4	Regional Connectivity	1
1.5	Road Network	4
	1.5.1 Existing Road Network	4
	1.5.2 Major Road Inventory of Bagmara Upazila	4
1.6	Waterway Network	5
1.7	Railway Network	5
1.8	Airways	5
1.9	Formulation and Mobilization of Survey Team	6
	1.9.1 Orientation & Meeting	6
	1.9.2 Guidance to the Survey Members	6
	1.9.3 Selection of Survey Locations	6
	1.9.4 Formation of Survey Team	6
Cha	pter 2: Methodology	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Reconnaissance Survey	10
2.3	Sample Sixe Determination	10
2.4	Conducted Traffic and Transportation Survey	11
	2.4.1 Traffic Volume Count Survey	11
	2.4.2 Origin and Destination (O D) Survey	12
	2.4.3 Passenger Interview Survey	12
	2.4.4 Regional Transportation Survey	12
Cha	pter 3: Survey Findings And Analysis	17
3.1	Traffic Volume Count Survey	17
	3.1.1 Traffic flow at Hamir Kuthsa Intersection	17
	3.1.2 Motorized Vehicle (MV) and Non-Motorized Vehicle (NMV)	18
	3.1.3 Traffic flows at Intersections	19

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Baghmara Upazila is well connected with all types of road network and communication. This area is one of the important Upazilas of Rajshashi District where several Zila Roads have been gone through this Upazila. The Regional Highway R685 has been linked with Zila road Z6853 and other Zila Roads such as Z6004, Z6856 have been passed through Baghmara Upazila. It has 16 Unions and 2 Pourashava.

There are four types of roads namely Upazila, Union, Village-A and Village-B served by LGED. All roads are categorized into Pucca, Semi pucca and Katcha Road. There are few areas which are important but traffic congestions are occurred lack of maintenance or infrastructural problems.

For exploring the traffic scenario, seven intersections have been surveyed for traffic volume count. Origin and Destination survey has been done in prominent areas. Passenger Interview Survey has been done for Bus, Train and Truck where different glimpses are explored. Regional survey has served for Bus and Truck Terminal from the study can find out the regional linkage of its surrounding Upazilas.

Trip purpose, Types of Mode, Origin and Destination Pattern, Problems, Trip Frequency, Passenger Occupancy etc. scenarios have been drawn out from this survey.

This is a submission of the traffic and transportation survey report as a part of Survey Report as per TOR of the project and mainly describes the traffic and transportation survey activities performed as per TOR.

ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYMS

- LGED Local Government Engineering Department
- MV Motorized Vehicle
- NMV Non Motorized Vehicle
- OD Origin and Destination
- PCE Passenger Car Equivalent
- PCU Passenger Car Unit
- PRA Participatory Rural Appraisal
- RHD Roads and Highway Department
- TOR Terms of Reference
- UDD Urban Development Directorate

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

In the present world countries, the roads within an Upazila are important and fundamental issues for communications and transactions. Thus the roads need to be well efficient and organized to serve the demand. Bangladesh has higher transportation demand and the demand of transports in every Upazila is increasing day by day. Traffic scenario and demand forecasting is essential for the design of transportation facilities and services, and also for planning, investment, and policy development. To determine the future traffic demand, existing traffic exploration is essential. Rajshahi (Town) stands on the bank of the river Padma. Rajshahi was a sub-division of the former Greater Rajshahi zila and Bagmara Upazila is one of its 13 Upazilas. Traffic study has been taken for Preparation of Development Plan for Bagmara Upazila. It is critical that this study produces an accurate value as these values form the basis for the subsequent steps and the errors in this step can propagate in the entire estimation process.

1.2 Extent and Nature of Traffic and Transportation Study


An inventory of road, railway, water way and airway network, regional transport network system and its linkage with Upazila area, information on pedestrian facilities, bus/ rail/ water way routes and parking facilities has been conducted and the base map will be upgraded with this information for providing traffic and transportation policy. A survey has provided to gather current traffic information not readily available from other sources and other relevant data have been collected form LGED, RHD and Upazila Parishad. Several traffic and transportation surveys have been done for analyzing the existing traffic behavior of Bagmara Upazila which will form the basis of traffic forecasting.


1.3 Study Area Profile


Bagmara came into existence in 1869 as Thana. Nothing is definitely known about the origin of the Upazila name. It is learnt that in the past this area was full of jungles and forest. There is a hearsay that while cleaning the jungles a Tiger means **Bagh** was killed and after that this area became popularly known as **Bagmara**. It has sixteen (16) Unions and two (2) Pourashavas.

1.4 Regional Connectivity

The Upazila occupies an area of 366.26 sq.km. It is located between 24° 30′ and 24° 41′ north latitudes and between 88° 41′ and 88° 58′ east longitudes. The Upazila is bounded on the north by Manda and Atrai Upazilas of Naogaon zila, on the east by Atrai and Natore sadar Upazila, on the south by Putia and Durgapur Upazilas and on the west by Mohanpur Upazila. (Please see **Map 1.1 & Map 1.2**)







3

1.5 Road Network

1.5.1 Existing Road Network

Bagmara Upazila is one of the largest Upazila of Rajshahi District. According to Banglapedia, 2017; Pucca road 127 km, Katcha road 406 km. Bagmara Upazila is served by all types of LGED Road. (Please see **Map 1.3**) Road network data and other road infrastructure will be updated through physical feature survey.

Classification	Zone	Length (Km)
National Highway	Rajshahi	501.929
Regional Highway	Rajshahi	532.175

Table 1.1: Zone wise Road Length

Source: RHD, Road Database, 2017.

Road Type	Earthen Road (km)	Pavement Road (km)	Total Length (km)
Upazila Road	4.69	108.16	119.34
Union Road	44.93	85.05	147.35
Village Road-A	189.68	30.34	220.72
Village Road-B	91.82	2.63	94.9

Source: LGED, 2017.

1.5.2 Major Road Inventory of Bagmara Upazila

The National Highway N6 has gone through Rajshahi District, Regional Highway R685 has been linked with Zila road Z6853 and several Zila Roads have been passed through Bagmara Upazila. The major roads of Bagmara Upazila have shown in Table 1.4.

Table 1.3: Basic Info on Road no. N6

Road Name	Kashinathpur-Dasuria-Natore-Rajshahi-Nawabganj-Kansat-Sona Masjid-		
	Baliadighi Border Road		
Class	National Highway	Starts at	Kashinathpur
Length	232.244 Km	Ends at	Baliadighi Border

Source: RHD, Road Database.

Road ID Name of the Road		Length of Road (km)
Z6853 Mohonpur-Bagmara (Bhabaniganj) Road		23
Z6004 Puthia-Bagmara Road		26
Z6856	Manda-Bagmara-Atrai Road	48

Table 1.4: Major Roads of Bagmara Upazila

Source: RHD, Road Database, 2017.

Table 1.5: Regional Road N	etwork of Ba	gmara Upazila	
Nama	Lonath	Ctarte t	

Road	Name	Length	Starts at	Ends at
No.				
Z6004	Puthia - Bagmara Road	26	Puthia	Bagmara
Z6853	Mohonpur-Bagmara (Bhabaniganj)	23	Mohonpur	Bhabaniganj
	Road		(Sahipara)	
Z6856	Manda-Bagmara-Atrai Road	47	Manda	Atrai

Source: RHD, Road Database, 2017.

Table 1.6: Traffic and Other Info of N6

Traffic (AADT)	6899 (Motorized: 5535, Non-
	Motorized: 1364)
Average width	7.26 (m)
No. of bridges	34

Source: RHD, Road Database, 2017.

1.6 Waterway Network

There are two rivers in Bagmara Upazila area and those are: 1. Roni River and Barnai River. But it is not widely used for passenger transport. It usually used for local goods transport.

1.7 Railway Network

There is no direct rail network with Bagmara. But the Upazila is about 45km away by road from Rajshahi. So, people can come to the Upazila by bus from Rajshahi district.

1.8 Airways

Similarly, this Upazila has no direct link airway. Rajshahi has an Airport with Civil aviation. So, one can travel to Bagmara by air way from District. It will take hardly one and half hour to go to Bagmara by road.

1.9 Formulation and Mobilization of Survey Team

1.9.1 Orientation & Meeting

In order to carry out various surveys related with traffic and transportation, at first an orientation program was held at Bagmara Upazila Office for giving a clear concept about the objectives of the project and different type of surveys. The Consultant team with expert has attended the orientation program and Mr. Shaheen Ahmed (Project Director and Senior Planner, UDD) was present in field during Survey on the behalf of UDD.

1.9.2 Guidance to the Survey Members

After giving orientation, the consultants have provided guidelines to the survey members who are representatives of the Consultancy firm. The survey members have been guided by proper understanding of Questionnaire formats of different types of Survey, time schedule of conducting Survey, location of conducting Survey etc. Junior Urban Planner, Mehedi Hasan was always with the enumerator to monitor the Transport survey.

1.9.3 Selection of Survey Locations

Considering the intensity, linkage and movement of traffic different survey locations have been selected to conduct different types of survey including Volume Count, O-D Survey, Passenger Survey and Regional Transport Survey which refers as a reconnaissance survey. Major intersections, Major Roads, Bus Terminal have been identified for conducting different types of Survey. Details of survey location have been given in corresponding type of survey.


1.9.4 Formation of Survey Team

The transport surveys have been carried out according to the consent of Transport Expert. The surveyors were deployed sufficiently according to the need of each survey locations; the consultant team has considered the previous working experience of similar types of survey activities and educational qualifications. The following table represents the team formations for traffic and transportation survey at Bagmara Upazila:

Sl. No.	Name	No.	Activities
1	Transportation Expert	1	Planning, preparation of questionnaire and
	Md. Abul Kashem		overall supervision of the survey activities
			and subsequent report preparation.
2	Planner	3	Training, Monitoring and supervision of field
	Jahidul Ashik, Mehedi Hasan and Afnan Mohammad		level data collection and survey activities.
3	Mustaq Ahmed & Md. Halim	2	Data base format preparation and supervision
			of data entry activities according to the
			guidance of Team Leader
4	Survey Supervisor	2	Inspection at every spots of Field Survey.
	Md. Polash		
5	Enumerators	8	Field Survey at different locations
6	Data Entry	10	Data Entry in Excel, Analysis and presentation in tabular format.
-			·
7	Planner Hasnat Arnab and Afnan	2	Data checking and reviewing
	Mohammad		

Table 1.7: List of members in Traffic and Transportation Survey

Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016



Map 1.3: Road Map of Bagmara Upazila

CHAPTER 2: METHODOLOGY




Figure 2.1: Working Methodology in Flow Chart

2.1 Introduction

Traffic and Transportation system is the backbone or mirror of an Upazila. It describes about the prospects of an Upazila. For preparation of a Development Plan, Transport is the prime issue for resolving different problems. For knowing different issues and problems, several surveys have been

selected for depicting the perfect scenario of the Upazila. To know the different scenario the consultants have conducted a number of surveys on traffic and transportation which are as follows:

- Traffic Volume Count Survey
- Origin & Destination (O D) Survey
- Passenger Interview Survey and
- Regional Transportation Survey

2.2 Reconnaissance Survey

A reconnaissance survey has been carried out to identify where the above mentioned surveys will be done for having different impact of certain locations. According to the judgment, local knowledge and stakeholder consultation survey locations points has been selected for the above selected surveys. For this study, survey has been done on the basis of Hat Day/On Day and Non Hat Day/Off Day.

2.3 Sample Sixe Determination

The initial sample size was determined by the following formula

$$n = \frac{z^2 pq}{d^2} \qquad \text{Where,}$$

z is the normal variation and which has 1.96 for 95% confidence interval p is the target proportion. In this case, we have assumed p=0.5 p+q =1, therefore q=0.5 And d is the desired error which is 0.12.

The initial sample size is therefore:

$$n_0 = \frac{(1.96)^2 \times 0.5 \times 0.5}{(0.12)}$$

These sample size was adjusted by using the following formula:

$$n = \frac{n_0}{1 + \frac{n_0}{N}}$$

Where n is requiring sample size and N is no. of Population of Upazila.

Here, Bagmara Upazila has the population of 3, 54,664. After applying the above formula, it is found that minimum 67 samples will be surveyed for each category of survey. Considering the formula, the sample size of traffic and transportation surveys has been determined.

2.4 Conducted Traffic and Transportation Survey

2.4.1 Traffic Volume Count Survey

Traffic volume studies are conducted to determine the number, movements, and classifications of roadway vehicles at a given location. These data can help to identify critical flow time periods, determine the influence of large vehicles or pedestrians on vehicular traffic flow, or document traffic volume trends. For this study, Manual counting method has been applied for acquiring the required data. Manual counts are typically used to gather data for determination of vehicle classification, turning movements, direction of travel, pedestrian movements, or vehicle occupancy. The selection of study method should be determined using the count period. The count period should be representative of the time of day, day of month, and month of year for the study area. The count period should avoid special event or compromising weather conditions (Sharma 1994). Count periods may range from 5 minutes to 1 year. Typical count periods are 15 minutes or 2 hours for peak periods, 4 hours for morning and afternoon peaks, 6 hours for morning, midday, and afternoon peaks, and 12 hours for daytime periods (Robertson, 1994). Seven intersections have been surveyed for traffic volume count which are Bhabanigonj, Taherpur, Hamir Kuthsa, Mohonpur, Shikdari, Hatgang para and Mosmoil (Please see Map 2.1) Hat Day and Non Hat Day have been taken into consideration for each intersection. Peak hour and off peak hour have been varied in each intersection depending on its impact on the Upazila. The volume of traffic using the road in a given interval of time is one of the elemental measures of road traffic that is also termed as flow and expressed in vehicles per hour or vehicles per day. But the roads normally comprise different types of vehicles offering different degrees of interference to other traffic. However, it is obligatory to bring all types of vehicles to a common unit. The normal practice to convert the flow into common unit is Passenger Car Equivalence (PCE) or Passenger Car Unit (PCU) by using certain equivalency factors. The flow is then expressed as PCE /PCU per hour or PCE /PCU per day. The Table 2.1 represents the PCE value for the traffic volume calculation.

Sl. No.	Vehicle Categories	РСЕ
1	Passenger Car	1.00
2	Light Goods Vehicle	1.00
3	Truck	3.00
4	Bus	3 .00

Table 2.1: List of PCU value for various Vehicles

Sl. No.	Vehicle Categories	РСЕ
5	Auto-Rickshaw	0.75
6	Motor-cycle, moped, scooter	0.75
7	Paddle Cycle	0.50

Source: Ministry of Communications, 2000 (Cited in Roads & Highways, 1994)

2.4.2 Origin and Destination (O D) Survey

Origin Destination (O-D) survey provides a detailed picture of the trip patterns and travel choices of a study area. The survey data related to households, individuals and trips allows stakeholders to understand travel patterns and characteristics; measure trends; provide input to travel demand model development, forecasting, and planning for area-wide transportation infrastructure needs and services; and, monitor progress in implementing transportation policies. The O D Survey has been taken in pertinent locations (Please see **Map 2.2**). The survey has carried out through random questionnaire according to the sample size.

2.4.3 Passenger Interview Survey


Passenger Interview Survey has done to know about the travel behavior of the passengers. In order to ensure the findings of the survey were representative, random sampling method was applied on this onboard face-to-face interview survey. Target respondents were picked by a random process. Passenger Interview Survey has been carried out in Bus Terminal; Bus stoppages etc. (Please see **Map 2.3**)


2.4.4 Regional Transportation Survey


Regional Transport is an enabler for growth but it can also be a catalyst for urban sprawl. It has implications not only for mobility and quality of life but also for the economic prosperity of cities. Regional Transport survey has been done to better understand the transport and mobility challenges and priorities for planning, infrastructure and service requirements over the short and longer term. For this survey, few locations have been considered where it will be easy to know the regional impact and regional transport network.

Table 2.2: Output and methodology of the conducted survey

Survey	Data	Methodology
Traffic Volume Count	Details of vehicle classification, fluctuation of flow, specific vehicular movements, road features, no. of vehicle per hour.	 Manual counting method Hat/On Day and Non Hat/Off Day Peak Hour and Off Peak Hour
O D survey	Origin zones, destination zones, internal and external origin and destinations.	 Simple Random Survey after determining the sample size. Before conducting the interview, the questionnaire prepared for interviewing the travelers which is approved by UDD.
Passenger Interview Survey	Trip destination, trip purpose, mode of transport, cost, distance etc.	 Simple Random Survey At first, the questionnaire has been prepared to cover all information required for the survey according to the TOR. The questionnaire has been approved by UDD and finally a sample of passengers has been selected for collecting data through approved questionnaire.
Regional Transport Network Survey	Urban growth, accessibility with nearer areas, communication and infrastructure facilities, potentiality of the area etc.	 Simple Random Survey after determining sample size through approved questionnaire. (Please see Appendix-C for approved Questionnaire Format of all transport Surveys)







CHAPTER 3: SURVEY FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

3.1 Traffic Volume Count Survey

Traffic volume count survey has been done in seven important intersections. Peak time and off peak time vary according to the importance of the certain locations. In study area, On Day and Off day has been taken into consideration for depicting the exact scenario of traffic in Bagmara Upazila. The surveyed locations are given below:

Intersection	Date	Remarks
• Bhabanigonj	2-Apr-16	On Day
• Taherpur		
Hamir Kuthsa	5 Apr 16	Off Day
	5-Apr-16	
• Mohonpur	7-Apr-16	On Day
• Shikdari		
Hatgang para	8-Apr-16	Off Day
• Mosmoil		

 Table 3.1: Surveyed Traffic Volume Count Locations

Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016

3.1.1 Traffic flow at Hamir Kuthsa Intersection

In Hamir Kutsa Intersection four links are flown such as Hamir Kuthsa-Goalkandi, Hamir Kuthsa-Talghoria, Hamir Kuthsa-Shikdari Bazar and Hamir Kuthsa-Taherpur. Every link is accommodated by sufficient traffic. For understanding of vehicle types, the traffic scenario of Hamir Kuthsa-Shikdari Bazar link is shown below pie chart which is counted during the peak hour of On Day.





Figure 3.1: Types of Mode at Hamir Kuthsa Intersection Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016

From the data of above chart it is seen that motorized and non-motorized vehicle has same influence in Hamir Kutsha intersection. Auto rickshaw, Motorbike and Rickshaw are main mode of transport where the percentages are respectively 17%, 25% and 32%.



3.1.2 Motorized Vehicle (MV) and Non-Motorized Vehicle (NMV)

Figure 3.2: Percentages of MV and NMV at Hatgang para Intersection

Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016




Figure 3.3: Percentages of MV and NMV at Taherpur Intersection Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016

In Gangni Upazila, at every intersection motorized and non-motorized vehicles are plying almost at same percentages. In every link of intersection, non-motorized vehicles are important mode of travel. For visualization, the above pie charts represent the scenario of MV and NMV. In Taherpur-Naogaon link of Taherpur intersection, only 52% is MV and at Hatgang para-Suvodanga link of Hatgang para intersection 47% vehicles are motorized vehicle.

3.1.3 Traffic flows at Intersections

Traffic flows are occurred in different ways at every intersection. Traffic flows are varied from time to time. Sometimes traffic flows are higher at Off Day for having Regional Impact. The significant intersections are summarized in **Appendix-A**. The Average PCU and Vehicle have been shown in below table at a gist.

		Average Vehicle/Hour		Average	PCU/Hour
Intersection	Link	On Day	Off Day	On Day	Off Day
	Bhabanigonj-Shikdari	427	349	337	270
	Bhabanigonj-Paharpur	793	678	648	535
	Bhabanigonj-Mohonpur	444	452	311	326
	Bhabanigonj-Alimdi Mor	564	475	420	364
Bhabanigonj	Bhabanigonj-Taherpur	412	320	324	264
	Bhabanigonj-Mosmoil	277	218	213	178
	Bhabanigonj-Daulia	651	564	432	383
	Bhabanigonj-Kanopara	264	298	149	204
	Taherpur-Bhabanigonj	437	332	333.5	244
	Taherpur-Rajshahi	332	249	294	214
	Taherpur-Putia	470	378	450.75	356
Taherpur	Taherpur-Durgapur	349	275	236	190
	Taherpur-Daulia	421	347	311.25	264
	Taherpur-Hamir Kuthsa	684	597	509	454
	Taherpur-Naogaon	634	535	471	388
	Taherpur-Mohonpur	447	371	305.25	258
	Hamir Kuthsa-Goalkandi	574	499	388	332
	Hamir Kuthsa-Talghoria	602	529	356.75	312
Hamir Kuthsa	Hamir Kuthsa-Shikdari Bazar	717	637	485.75	436
	Hamir Kuthsa-Taherpur	721	644	506.5	458
	Shikdari-Jata Pukur	270	201	203	160
Chiladoui	Shikdari-Bhabanigonj	365	289	247.75	201
Shikdari	Shikdari-Hamir Kuthsa	717	614	485.75	422
	Shikdari-Atrai	542	469	373.5	326
	Mohonpur-Bhabanigonj	450	375	302.75	256
Mohonnur	Mohonpur-Taherpur	442	369	308.75	263
Mohonpur	Mohonpur-Sonadanga	442	371	308.75	264
	Mohonpur-Boro bihanoli	444	372	310.75	267
	Hatgang para-Auchpara	432	357	308	260
	Hatgang para-Baigacha	576	479	384	323
Hatgang para	Hatgang para-Gobinda Para	459	387	324.25	280
	Hatgang para-Suvodanga	635	547	447.75	393
	Mosmoil-Bhabanigonj	408	335	290.25	245
	Mosmoil-Basupara	468	391	325.5	277
Mosmoil	Mosmoil-Subhadanga	468	395	325.5	280
	Mosmoil-Gonipur	470	423	320.5	293

Table 3.2: PCU and Traffic Volume at Intersections

Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016

3.2 Origin and Destination Findings

Origin and Destination Survey has been reflected different desired issues such as types of mode used in study area, origin and destination pattern, behavior etc. The output of the O D Survey has been depicted in below paragraphs.

3.2.1 Trip Distribution Pattern


Origin and Destination survey has been carried out according to the sample size where the trip distribution pattern can easily determine. From the survey, it has been seen that people lean to travel internally within Unions and also travel other Upazilas and Districts. The following tables represent the trip distribution pattern of Bagmara Upazila within Unions or other Upazilas/Districts.

Destinatio											
n	A 4-1	D	Darrel	Commen	TI. 11-	Valias	Varhan	Machm	Derth	Datah	Tot
Orrigin	Atr ai	Bwabanig	Dewl ia	Gangep	Jhik	Kaligo	Keshor hat		Puth ia	Rajsh ahi	al
Origin		onj		ara	ra	nj		oil			
Atrai	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Bwabanig											
onj	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dewlia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gangepar											
a	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Jhikra	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Kaligonj	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Keshorha											
t	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Machmoil	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Puthia	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Rajshahi	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	0	11	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	14

Table 3.3: Origin Destination Matrix

Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016

The above table represents the origin and destination behavior of most travelled area. From the above table, it is seen that Bwabaniganj is experiencing most desired place for travelers during the survey period. And the other locations are also getting significance by passengers.




3.2.2 Mode Choice



Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016

The pie chart shows that the available modes which are roaming in this upazila and their percentages of usages. There are 7 types of mode of transport in this area. People use them according to their convenience. 38% people choose auto/rickshaw/tempo to reach to their destinations and it is the highest demanding mode in this area. The second one is Motorcycle which is 25%. They use it to travel to nearby areas. 8% use Rickshaw, 13% bicycle, 8% truck, only 4% car/pickup/jeep/motorbus and Bus. These modes are used depending on need of the passengers such as they can be used for shopping purpose, education purpose, business purpose, etc.



3.2.3 Purpose of Trip

Figure 3.5: Purpose of Trip

Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016

The bar chart represents the origin destination behavior of the passengers. From the data it is shown that people mostly travel for work/commute purposes. 62.5% passengers travel due to work purpose. For the purpose of business, shopping, education and social are experiencing almost the similar percentages.


3.2.4 Origin Destination Behavior

	Destination				School/Coll				
		Resid	Work	Shop	ege/Universi		Recrea	Tota	
Origin		ence	place	ping	ty	Social	tional	1	
	Frequency	1	5	1	0	0	1	8	
		12.50	62.50	12.50				100.	
Residence	Percentage	%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	12.50%	00%	
	Frequency	2	7	0	1	1	0	11	
		18.20	63.60	0.00				100.	
Workplace	Percentage	%	%	%	9.10%	9.10%	0.00%	00%	
	Frequency	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	
			50.00	50.00				100.	
Shopping	Percentage	0.00%	%	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	00%	
School/Col	Frequency	1	1	0	0	1	0	3	
lege/Unive		33.30	33.30	0.00				100.	
rsity	Percentage	%	%	%	0.00%	33.30%	0.00%	00%	
	Frequency	4	14	2	1	2	1	24	
		16.70	58.30	8.30				100.	
Total	Percentage	%	%	%	4.20%	8.30%	4.20%	00%	


 Table 3.4: Origin Destination Behavior

Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016

From the cross tab chart, it can depict that trip origin purposes are mostly occurred for work purpose. People commute within or outside Upazila for their working purpose which is 62.50% from their residence. The others trip purposes are fluctuating. And, it is also seen that some people have to move from one work place to other work place which percentage is 63.60%. So, people's daily trip purposes are mostly related to residence and work place.



3.2.5 Passengers density in different vehicle mode



Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016. The graph shows that passengers mostly choose those vehicles which can hold a small number of passengers like within 5 persons that may be auto rickshaw for short time of travelling and the percentage is 54%. Data shows that 6 to 10 persons are willingly to travel and the percentage is 25%. 21% people travel by those vehicles which can hold between 11 to 40 persons.

3.2.6 Major Prioritized Problems

From the survey, different problems have been drawn and the main problems which are facing most are categorized below.

Problems	Demand
High speed motorcycle movement	Need fast access roads
Reckless driving	• Public vehicle needed
• High speed traffic movement	• Bus Stoppage or stand
Illegal vehicle movement	
• Lack of commuters	
• High travel Cost	
Narrow road	
• No awareness about safe driving	

Table 3.5: Facing problems in Transportation

No different lanes	
• Lack of repairing	
Insufficiency of Road	
• Curving or Zigzag Road	
	Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016

3.3 Passenger Interview Survey

Passenger's Interview Survey has been conducted for Bus, Boat and Train. As people mostly travel by bus, the findings reflect the transport communication through bus. The findings are when people prefer buses, travel cost, travel distance, types of modes for getting into buses through Bus terminal or bus stoppages.

3.3.1 Trip Purpose




Figure 3.7: Percentages of trip purpose

Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016.

The chart displays that people prefer buses for Education, Shopping, Business and work purposes where the percentages are between 20%. The other purposes like recreation and social are also shown from this chart.

3.3.2 Respondents Distribution





Figure 3.8: Percentages of Age Distribution of Passengers

Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016.

The above pie chart depicts the age variation of passengers which ranges of people have to travel most for their necessities. From the chart, it is shown that 37% of passengers are between 21 to 30 age range and 28% are between 31 to 40 ages. The other ranges are showing irregular passenger's percentage.



3.3.3 Age Distribution according to the Gender

Figure 3.9: Percentages of Age Distribution of Passengers according to Gender

Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016.

The bar chart illustrates the percentages of male and female travelling by different types of vehicle. In a comparison it can be seen that male travel more than female. If we see the data it will be more representative. Almost 75% males are daily travelers for different purposes. The data also shows that the comparison between different age ranges according to gender.

3.3.4 Age group and trip purpose

	Trip	Purpose							
	$\overline{\}$	•	Work/Com	Business	Shopp	Educat	Soci	Recreat	
Age			mute	Related	ing	ion	al	ion	Total
		Freque							
		ncy	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Below	15	Percent				100.00	0.00		100.0
years		age	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%	%	0.00%	0%
		Freque							
		ncy	0	0	1	6	0	0	7
16-20		Percent			14.30		0.00		100.0
years		age	0.00%	0.00%	%	85.70%	%	0.00%	0%
		Freque							
		ncy	4	3	3	3	2	1	16
21-30		Percent			18.80		12.5		100.0
years		age	25.00%	18.80%	%	18.80%	0%	6.30%	0%
		Freque							
		ncy	3	3	3	1	2	0	12
31-40		Percent			25.00		16.7		100.0
years		age	25.00%	25.00%	%	8.30%	0%	0.00%	0%
		Freque							
		ncy	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
41-50		Percent			66.70		0.00		100.0
years		age	0.00%	33.30%	%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0%
		Freque							
		ncy	0	2	0	0	2	0	4
Above	51	Percent					50.0		100.0
years		age	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%

 Table 3.6: Trip production purpose according to the age group

ncy 7	9	0				
	,	9	11	6	1	43
Percent		20.90		14.0		100.0
Total age 16.30%	20.90%	%	25.60%	0%	2.30%	0%

Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016.

The people travel places for different purposes. Their trip purposes are varied according to their age range. From the chart, it is clear that where and what types of aging people mostly involve in travelling. It is seen that above 50 aged people travel for their social purposes. Between 16 to 20 years aged people have to go out education or shopping such as buying necessary needs respectively around 85% and 15%. Between 21 to 50 years aged people travel for their work purposes.

3.3.5 Travel cost and travel distance

	Distance							
Travel Cost	Travel Cost		6 to 10 km	11 to 20 km	21 to 30 km	Total		
	Frequency	2	1	0	2	5		
	Percentag					100.00		
Within 50 taka	e	40.00%	20.00%	0.00%	40.00%	%		
	Frequency	2	1	0	0	3		
	Percentag					100.00		
51 to 100 taka	e	66.70%	33.30%	0.00%	0.00%	%		
	Frequency	1	1	3	2	7		
	Percentag					100.00		
101 to 150 taka	e	14.30%	14.30%	42.90%	28.60%	%		
	Frequency	0	2	0	0	2		
	Percentag					100.00		
151 to 200 taka	e	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%		
	Frequency	5	5	3	4	17		
	Percentag					100.00		
Total	e	29.40%	29.40%	17.60%	23.50%	%		

 Table 3.7: Travel Cost according to the Distance

Source: Traffic and Transportation Survey, 2016

The above crosstab illustrates the distance and cost relationship of this upazila. The data shows that the travel cost are changing in terms of distance. For the same amount of money, they travel different distance because of choosing mode. The information clearly represents that people mainly pay within 50 taka to cover the distance within 10 km.

3.4 Regional Transport Survey

Regional transport network survey has been done for Buses and Trucks which are coming into study area and going out form study area. From the survey, we can know the carrying capacity of the buses, types of goods carrying by trucks, connectivity pattern with other Upazilas and Districts.

3.4.1 Regional connectivity with surrounding regions

In Bagmara Upazila, Most of the buses have more than 30 person's carrying capacity. People daily travel most to Rajshahi, Nawga, Atrai, Putia, Gobindopur, Mohon Gonj, Rani nagor, Natore.

The statistics of following table shows the regional road information of Bagmara Upazila.

8	8
Division	Length (Km)
Pabna	68.01
Natore	45.82
Rajshahi	76.23
Nawabganj	42.19
	Source: RHD, Road Database, 2017.

Table 3.8: Regional Road Information of Bagmara

3.4.2 Travel pattern of Buses

People choose travel in case of long distances. Buses passenger's occupancy varies from 30 to 40 persons. Buses make their trip from 1 to 3.

3.4.3 Travel pattern of Trucks

Trucks are coming into study area or going out form study area for goods carrying purposes such as vegetables, agricultural products like paddy, departmental products etc.

CHAPTER 4: CONCLUSION

Bagmara Upazila has great potentiality because of having regional connectivity with other regions and train connectivity with several important regions. The growth of a region depends mostly on transportation. In the preparation of Development Plan for Bagmara Upazila, this transportation survey has inevitable impacts. This survey attempts to describe existing conditions of this upazila from different aspects. The survey data represents the present transport facilities of this upazila, the conditions of the vehicles, and the traffic flows of vehicles at different intersections depending on peak hour. The total study on the transportation of this upazila will help to prepare a comprehensive development plan for this upazila which will be a sustainable one.

REFERENCES

Cochran, W. G. (1963). Sampling Techniques, 2nd Ed., New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

LGED (2017), Road Database. URL: <u>http://www.lged.gov.bd/ViewRoad2.aspx</u> accessed on 12 May, 2017.

Roads and Highways Department (1994), Road Materials and Standards Study Bangladesh, Final Report, Volume **V11A**, Development of Geometric Design Standards, Ministry of Communications, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh

Wikipedia (2017), Roads and highways URL: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List-of-roads-in-Bangladesh</u> accessed on 12 May, 2017.

TRAFFIC VOLUME CALCULATION

A) Bhawaniganj

Table A-1: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Shikdari link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Mode of Transport				ection ame			
		PCU	Bhawaniganj to Shikdari	Shikdari to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	2	2	4	12	0.94
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	13	13	26	78	6.09
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	3	7	10	10	2.34
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	36	27	63	47.25	14.75
	Motorcycle	0.75	70	40	110	82.5	25.76
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	82	51	133	66.5	31.15
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	50	31	81	40.5	18.97
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				213	230	49.88
	Total NMV				214	107	50.12
	Grand Total				427	337	100

Table A-2: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Shikdari link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

Mode of Transport	PCU		Shikdari to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
Bus/Minibus	3	2	2	4	12	1.15

	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	9	8	17	51	4.87
MV	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	5	12	17	17	4.87
	Auto						
	Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	27	19	46	34.5	13.18
NMV	Motorcycle	0.75	60	31	91	68.25	26.07
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	71	40	111	55.5	31.81
	Bicycle	0.5	41	22	63	31.5	18.05
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					175	183	50.14
Total NMV					174	87	49.86
Grand Total					349	270	100

Table A-3: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Paharpur link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

			Direction Name				
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Bhawaniganj to Paharpur	Paharpur to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	3	1	4	12	0.50
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	27	26	53	159	6.68
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	17	10	27	27	3.40
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	84 142	58 98	142 240	106.5 180	17.91 30.26
	Rickshaw/Van	0.75	136	72	240	104	26.23
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	82	37	119	59.5	15.01
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				466	485	58.76
	Total NMV				327	164	41.24
	Grand Total				793	648	100.00

Table A-4: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Paharpur link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

			-	ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Bhawaniganj to Paharpur	Paharpur to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	3	1	4	12	0.59
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	19	18	37	111	5.46
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	11	9	20	20	2.95
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75 0.75	73 126	50 84	123 210	92.25 157.5	18.14 30.97
	Rickshaw/Van	0.75	120	62	186	93	27.43
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	71	27	98	49	14.45
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV			-	394	393	58.11
	Total NMV				284	142	41.89
	Grand Total				678	535	100.00

Table A-5: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Mohonpur link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

	Mode of Transport		Direction Name				
			Bhawaniganj to Mohonpur	Mohonpur to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	6	7	13	39	2.93
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	4	7	11	11	2.48
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	49	45	94	70.5	21.17
	Motorcycle	0.75	49	60	109	81.75	24.55
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	45	51	96	48	21.62

NMV	Bicycle	0.5	56	65	121	60.5	27.25
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					227	202	51.13
	Total NMV				217	109	48.87
	Grand Total				444	311	100.00

Table A-6: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Mohonpur link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Bhawaniganj to Mohonpur	Mohonpur to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	6	7	13	39	2.88
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	4	7	11	11	2.43
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	124	36	160	120	35.40
	Motorcycle	0.75	40	49	89	66.75	19.69
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	36	43	79	39.5	17.48
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	44	56	100	50	22.12
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				273	237	60.40
	Total NMV				179	90	39.60
	Grand Total				452	326	100.00

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Bhawaniganj to Alimdi Mor	Alimdi Mor to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	2	0	2	6	0.35
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	8	17	25	75	4.43
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	3	14	17	17	3.01
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	29	63	92	69	16.31
	Motorcycle	0.75	73	84	157	117.75	27.84
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	47	92	139	69.5	24.65
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	42	90	132	66	23.40
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV		•		293	285	51.95
	Total NMV				271	136	48.05
	Grand Total				564	420	100.00

Table A-7: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Alimdi Mor link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table A-8: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Alimdi Mor link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Bhawaniganj to Alimdi Mor	Alimdi Mor to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	2	0	2	6	0.42
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	8	17	25	75	5.26
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	3	14	17	17	3.58
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	19	51	70	52.5	14.74
	Motorcycle	0.75	59	73	132	99	27.79
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	35	80	115	57.5	24.21
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	37	77	114	57	24.00
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV			-	246	250	51.79
	Total NMV				229	115	48.21
	Grand Total				475	364	100.00

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Bhawaniganj to Taherpur	Taherpur to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	13	15	28	84	25.91
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	7	20	27	27	8.33
MV	Auto Rickshaw/ Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	36	21	57	42.75	13.18
	Motorcycle	0.75	31	51	82	61.5	18.97
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	51	84	135	67.5	20.82
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	37	46	83	41.5	12.80
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				194	215	66.38
	Total NMV				218	109	33.62
	Grand Total				412	324	100.00

Table A-9: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Taherpur link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table A-10: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Taherpur link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

			-	ection me			
	Mode of Transport		Bhawaniganj to Taherpur	Taherpur to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	13	15	28	84	31.82
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	6	12	18	18	6.82
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	25	13	38	28.5	10.80
	Motorcycle	0.75	22	40	62	46.5	17.61
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	40	69	109	54.5	20.64
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	30	35	65	32.5	12.31
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				146	177	67.05
	Total NMV				174	87	32.95
	Grand Total				320	264	100.00

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Bhawaniganj to Mosmoil	Mosmoil to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	2	0	2	6	2.82
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	3	12	15	45	21.18
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	1	1	2	2	0.94
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	16 38	21 47	37 85	27.75 63.75	13.06 30.00
	Rickshaw/Van	0.75	35	45	80	40	18.82
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	22	34	56	28	13.18
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				141	145	68.00
	Total NMV				136	68	32.00
	Grand Total				277	213	100.00

Table A-11: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Mosmoil link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table A-12: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Mosmoil link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Bhawaniganj to Mosmoil	Mosmoil to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	2	0	2	6	3.38
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	3	12	15	45	25.32
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	3	4	7	7	3.94
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	10	13	23	17.25	9.70
	Rickshaw/Van	0.75	30	38	68	51	28.69
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	26 17	34 26	60 43	30 21.5	16.88 12.10
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				115	126	71.03
	Total NMV				103	52	28.97
	Grand Total				218	178	100.00

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport		Bhawaniganj to Daulia	Daulia to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	3	3	6	18	4.17
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	5	19	24	24	5.56
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	54	76	130	97.5	22.57
		0.75	93	95	188	141	32.64
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	90	94	184	92	21.30
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	55	64	119	59.5	13.77
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				348	281	64.93
	Total NMV				303	152	35.07
	Grand Total				651	432	100.00

Table A-13: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Daulia link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table A-14: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Daulia link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Bhawaniganj to Daulia	Daulia to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	1	1	2	6	1.57
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	3	4	7	21	5.49
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	5	16	21	21	5.49
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	42 80	64 84	106 164	79.5 123	20.78 32.16
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	79	82	161	80.5	21.05
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	47	56	103	51.5	13.46
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				300	251	65.49
	Total NMV			_	264	132	34.51
	Grand Total				564	383	100.00

				ection ime			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Bhawaniganj to Kanopara	Kanopara to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	0	0	0	0	0.00
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75 0.75	15 35	6	21 47	15.75 35.25	10.57 23.66
	Rickshaw/Van	0.73	39	55	47 94	47	31.54
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	58	44	102	51	34.23
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					68	51	34.23
	Total NMV				196	98	65.77
	Grand Total				264	149	100.00

Table A-15: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Kanopara link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table A-16: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Bhawaniganj-Kanopara link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

			-	ection me			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Bhawaniganj to Kanopara	Kanopara to Bhawaniganj	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	2	2	4	12	5.90
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	1	2	3	9	4.42
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	5	3	8	8	3.93
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	38	50	88	66	32.43
	Motorcycle	0.75	32	12	44	33	16.22
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	28	44	72	36	17.69
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	45	34	79	39.5	19.41
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					147	128	62.90
	Total NMV				151	76	37.10
	Grand Total				298	204	100.00

B) Taherpur

			-	ection ame			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Taherpur to Bhawaniganj	Bhawaniganj to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	14	11	25	75	5.72
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	15	7	22	22	5.03
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	19	49	68	51	15.56
	Motorcycle	0.75	52	46	98	73.5	22.43
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	87	56	143	71.5	32.72
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	48	33	81	40.5	18.54
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				213	221.5	48.74
	Total NMV				224	112	51.26
	Grand Total				437	333.5	100

Table B-1: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Bhawaniganj link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table B-2: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Bhawaniganj link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

			-	ection ame			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Taherpur to Bhawaniganj	Bhawaniganj to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	9	7	16	48	4.82
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	9	5	14	14	4.22
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	10	41	51	38.25	15.36
	Motorcycle	0.75	37	34	71	53.25	21.39
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	73	44	117	58.5	35.24
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	39	24	63	31.5	18.98
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				152	153.5	45.78
	Total NMV				180	90	54.22
	Grand Total				332	243.5	100

			-	ection ame			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Taherpur to Rajshahi	Rajshahi to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	1	1	3	0.30
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	12	22	34	102	10.24
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	2	7	9	9	2.71
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	36	18	54	40.5	16.27
	Motorcycle	0.75	50	40	90	67.5	27.11
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	48	37	85	42.5	25.60
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	25	34	59	29.5	17.77
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				188	222	56.63
	Total NMV				144	72	43.37
	Grand Total				332	294	100.00

Table B-3: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Rajshahi link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table B-4: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Rajshahi link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Taherpur to Rajshahi	Rajshahi to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	1	1	3	0.40
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	8	14	22	66	8.84
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	2	7	9	9	3.61
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	26	13	39	29.25	15.66
	Motorcycle	0.75	39	30	69	51.75	27.71
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	37	30	67	33.5	26.91
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	17	25	42	21	16.87
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					140	159	56.22
	Total NMV				109	54.5	43.78
	Grand Total				249	213.5	100.00

			-	ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Taherpur to Putia	Putia to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	6	3	9	27	1.91
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	18	38	56	168	11.91
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	14	8	22	22	4.68
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	30 51	31 57	61 108	45.75 81	12.98 22.98
	Rickshaw/Van	0.75	53	81	134	67	28.51
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	30	50	80	40	17.02
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					256	344	54.47
	Total NMV				214	107	45.53
	Grand Total				470	451	100.00

Table B-5: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Putia link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table B-6: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Putia link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection me			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Taherpur to Putia	Putia to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	6	3	9	27	2.38
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	11	29	40	120	10.58
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	14	8	22	22	5.82
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	22	21	43	32.25	11.38
		0.75	43	47	90	67.5	23.81
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	44	69	113	56.5	29.89
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	22	39	61	30.5	16.14
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				204	269	53.97
Total NMV					174	87	46.03
	Grand Total				378	356	100.00

			-	ection Ime			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Taherpur to Durgapur	Durgapur to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	3	3	9	0.86
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	0	8	8	24	2.29
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	2	5	7	7	2.01
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	12	20	32	24	9.17
	Motorcycle	0.75	37	53	90	67.5	25.79
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	46	65	111	55.5	31.81
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	50	48	98	49	28.08
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				140	132	40.11
	Total NMV				209	105	59.89
	Grand Total				349	236	100.00

Table B-7: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Durgapur link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table B-8: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Durgapur link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

			-	ection ame			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Taherpur to Durgapur	Durgapur to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	3	3	9	1.09
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	0	8	8	24	2.91
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	2	5	7	7	2.55
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	7	12	19	14.25	6.91
	Motorcycle	0.75	25	43	68	51	24.73
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	37	53	90	45	32.73
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	41	39	80	40	29.09
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				105	105	38.18
	Total NMV				170	85	61.82
	Grand Total				275	190	100.00

			-	ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Taherpur to Daulia	Daulia to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	13	6	19	57	18.31
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	9	6	15	15	4.82
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	50	21	71	53.25	17.11
	Rickshaw/Van	0.75	71	41	112	84	26.99
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	79	31	110	55	17.67
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	0.5	60	34	94	47	15.10
		3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					217	209	67.23
Total NMV					204	102	32.77
	Grand Total				421	311	100.00

Table B-9: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Daulia link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table B-10: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Daulia link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Taherpur to Daulia	Daulia to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	13	6	19	57	21.57
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	9	6	15	15	5.68
MV	Auto						
	Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	39	12	51	38.25	14.47
	Motorcycle	0.75	60	32	92	69	26.11
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	69	23	92	46	17.41
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	49	29	78	39	14.76
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				177	179	67.83
Total NMV					170	85	32.17
	Grand Total				347	264	100.00

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Taherpur to Hamir Kuthsa	Hamir Kuthsa to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	3	0	3	9	1.77
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	10	19	29	87	17.09
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	8	16	24	24	4.72
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	58	72	130	97.5	19.16
	Motorcycle	0.75	80	90	170	127.5	25.05
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	102	104	206	103	20.24
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	60	62	122	61	11.98
	Animal Cart/Push Cart		0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				356	345	67.78
	Total NMV				328	164	32.22
	Grand Total				684	509	100.00

Table B-11: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Hamir Kuthsa link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table B-12: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Hamir Kuthsa link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Taherpur to Hamir Kuthsa	Hamir Kuthsa to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	3	0	3	9	1.98
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	10	19	29	87	19.15
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	8	16	24	24	5.28
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	48	59	107	80.25	17.67
	Motorcycle	0.75	70	78	148	111	24.44
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	91	91	182	91	20.03
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	50	54	104	52	11.45
	Animal Cart/Push Cart		0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				311	311	68.52
	Total NMV				286	143	31.48
	Grand Total				597	454	100.00

			-	ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Taherpur to Naogaon	Naogaon to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	25	11	36	108	22.93
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	0	2	2	2	0.42
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	50	48	98	73.5	15.61
	Motorcycle	0.75	84	70	154	115.5	24.52
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	111	111	222	111	23.57
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	72	50	122	61	12.95
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				290	299	63.48
	Total NMV				344	172	36.52
	Grand Total				634	471	100.00

Table B-13: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Naogaon link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table B-14: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Naogaon link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Taherpur to Naogaon	Naogaon to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	18	9	27	81	20.90
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	0	2	2	2	0.52
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	39	36	75	56.25	14.52
	Motorcycle	0.75	72	59	131	98.25	25.35
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	100	100	200	100	25.81
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	59	41	100	50	12.90
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				235	238	61.29
Total NMV					300	150	38.71
	Grand Total				535	388	100.00

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Taherpur to Mohonpur	Mohonpur to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	6	5	11	33	10.81
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	6	13	19	19	6.22
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	24	50	74	55.5	18.18
	Motorcycle	0.75	40	65	105	78.75	25.80
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	30	75	105	52.5	17.20
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	33	100	133	66.5	21.79
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				209	186	61.02
	Total NMV				238	119	38.98
	Grand Total				447	305	100.00

Table B-15: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Mohonpur link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table B-16: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Taherpur-Mohonpur link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Taherpur to Mohonpur	Mohonpur to Taherpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	6	5	11	33	12.78
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	6	13	19	19	7.36
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	16	40	56	42	16.26
	Motorcycle	0.75	32	55	87	65.25	25.27
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	21	62	83	41.5	16.07
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	25	90	115	57.5	22.27
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					173	159	61.67
Total NMV					198	99	38.33
	Grand Total				371	258	100.00

C) Hamir Kuthsa

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Hamir Kuthsa to Goalkandi	Goalkandi to Hamir Kuthsa	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	11	3	14	42	2.44
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	3	1	4	4	0.70
MV	Auto Rickshaw/ Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	70	41	111	83.25	19.34
	Motorcycle	0.75	87	58	145	108.75	25.26
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	96	42	138	69	24.04
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	85	77	162	81	28.22
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV		•		274	238	47.74
	Total NMV				300	150	52.26
	Grand Total				574	388	100

Table C-1: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hamir Kuthsa-Goalkandi link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table C-2: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hamir Kuthsa-Goalkandi link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

			Directio	n Name			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Hamir Kuthsa to Goalkandi	Goalkandi to Hamir Kuthsa	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	7	3	10	30	2.00
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	3	1	4	4	0.80
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	60	33	93	69.75	18.64
-	Motorcycle	0.75	79	50	129	96.75	25.85
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	88	34	122	61	24.45
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	78	63	141	70.5	28.26
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				236	201	47.29
	Total NMV				263	132	52.71
	Grand Total				499	332	100

				ection me			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Hamir Kuthsa to Talghoria	Talghoria to Hamir Kuthsa	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	0	0	0	0	0.00
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	39	39	78	58.5	12.96
	Motorcycle	0.75	64	81	145	108.75	24.09
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	86	102	188	94	31.23
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	99	92	191	95.5	31.73
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV		•		223	167	37.04
	Total NMV				379	190	62.96
	Grand Total				602	357	100.00

Table C-3: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hamir Kuthsa-Talghoria link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table C-4: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hamir Kuthsa-Talghoria link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ction me	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
Mode of Transport		PCU	Hamir Kuthsa to Talghoria	Talghoria to Hamir Kuthsa			
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	0	0	0	0	0.00
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	31 56	30 74	61 130	45.75 97.5	11.53 24.57
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	77	92	169	84.5	31.95
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	88	81	169	84.5	31.95
	Animal Cart/Push Cart		0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				191	143	36.11
Total NMV					338	169	63.89
	Grand Total				529	312	100.00

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Hamir Kuthsa to Shikdari Bazar	Shikdari Bazar to Hamir Kuthsa	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	7	7	14	42	1.95
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	4	19	23	23	3.21
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	80	43	123	92.25	17.15
	Motorcycle	0.75	70	110	180	135	25.10
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	111	118	229	114.5	31.94
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	64	82	146	73	20.36
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	2	0	2	6	0.28
Total MV				-	340	292	47.42
	Total NMV				377	194	52.58
	Grand Total				717	486	100.00

Table C-5: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hamir Kuthsa-Shikdari Bazar link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table C-6: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hamir Kuthsa-Shikdari Bazar link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Hamir Kuthsa to Shikdari	Shikdari Bazar to Hamir Kuthsa	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	7	7	14	42	2.20
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	4	19	23	23	3.61
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	70	34	104	78	16.33
	Motorcycle	0.75	60	98	158	118.5	24.80
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	100	106	206	103	32.34
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	56	74	130	65	20.41
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	2	0	2	6	0.31
	Total MV				299	262	46.94
	Total NMV				338	174	53.06
	Grand Total				637	436	100.00

			-	ection ame			
	Mode of Transport		Hamir Kuthsa to Taherpur	Taherpur to Hamir Kuthsa	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	13	8	21	63	2.91
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	16	7	23	23	3.19
MV	Auto Rickshaw/ Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	78	71	149	111.75	20.67
	Motorcycle	0.75	95	84	179	134.25	24.83
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	117	111	228	114	31.62
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	58	63	121	60.5	16.78
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				372	332	51.60
	Total NMV				349	175	48.40
	Grand Total				721	507	100.00

Table C-7: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hamir Kuthsa-Taherpur link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table C-8: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hamir Kuthsa-Taherpur link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport		Hamir Kuthsa to Taherpur	Taherpur r to Hamir Kuthsa	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	13	8	21	63	3.26
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	16	7	23	23	3.57
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	69	62	131	98.25	20.34
	Motorcycle	0.75	83	74	157	117.75	24.38
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	105	101	206	103	31.99
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	50	56	106	53	16.46
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				332	302	51.55
	Total NMV				312	156	48.45
	Grand Total				644	458	100.00

D) Mohonpur

			-	ection ame			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Mohonpur to Bhawaniganj	Bhawaniganj to Mohonpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	5	4	9	27	2.00
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	4	2	6	6	1.33
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	55	46	101	75.75	22.44
	Motorcycle	0.75	63	45	108	81	24.00
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	65	40	105	52.5	23.33
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	69	52	121	60.5	26.89
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV		•		224	189.75	49.78
	Total NMV				226	113	50.22
	Grand Total				450	302.75	100

Table D-1: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mohonpur-Bhawaniganj link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table D-2: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mohonpur-Bhawaniganj link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport		Mohonpur to Bhawaniganj	Bhawaniganj to Mohonpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	5	4	9	27	2.40
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	4	2	6	6	1.60
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	47	37	84	63	22.40
	Motorcycle	0.75	52	36	88	66	23.47
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	57	30	87	43.5	23.20
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	57	44	101	50.5	26.93
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				187	162	49.87
	Total NMV				188	94	50.13
	Grand Total				375	256	100

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Mohonpur to Taherpur	Taherpur to Mohonpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	5	8	13	39	2.94
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	13	7	20	20	4.52
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	50 65	24 42	74 107	55.5 80.25	16.74 24.21
	Rickshaw/Van	0.75	75	42 30	107	52.5	23.76
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	100	23	123	61.5	27.83
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					214	195	48.42
	Total NMV				228	114	51.58
	Grand Total				442	309	100.00

Table D-3: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mohonpur-Taherpur link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table D-4: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mohonpur-Taherpur link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Mohonpur to Taherpur	Taherpur to Mohonpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	5	8	13	39	3.52
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	13	7	20	20	5.42
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	41	15	56	42	15.18
	Rickshaw/Van	0.75	54	34	88	66 42 F	23.85
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	64 90	21 17	85 107	42.5 53.5	23.04 29.00
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				177	167	47.97
	Total NMV				192	96	52.03
	Grand Total				369	263	100.00

			-	ection ame			
	Mode of Transport		Mohonpur to Sonadanga	Sonadanga to Mohonpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	5	8	13	39	2.94
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	13	7	20	20	4.52
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	50	24	74	55.5	16.74
	Motorcycle	0.75	65	42	107	80.25	24.21
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	75	30	105	52.5	23.76
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	100	23	123	61.5	27.83
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV		•		214	195	48.42
	Total NMV				228	114	51.58
	Grand Total				442	309	100.00

Table D-5: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mohonpur-Sonadanga link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table D-6: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mohonpur-Sonadanga link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport		Mohonpur to Sonadanga	Sonadanga to Mohonpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	5	8	13	39	3.50
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	13	7	20	20	5.39
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	40	15	55	41.25	14.82
	Motorcycle	0.75	54	34	88	66	23.72
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	65	22	87	43.5	23.45
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	91	17	108	54	29.11
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				176	166	47.44
	Total NMV				195	98	52.56
	Grand Total				371	264	100.00

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport		Mohonpur to Boro bihanoli	Boro bihanoli to Mohonpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	7	6	13	39	2.93
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	7	4	11	11	2.48
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	45 60	49 49	94 109	70.5 81.75	21.17 24.55
	Rickshaw/Van	0.75	51	45	96	48	24.55
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	65	56	121	60.5	27.25
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				227	202	51.13
	Total NMV				217	109	48.87
	Grand Total				444	311	100.00

Table D-7: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mohonpur-Boro bihanoli link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table D-8: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mohonpur-Boro bihanoli link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport		Mohonpur to Boro bihanoli	Boro bihanoli to Mohonpur	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	7	6	13	39	3.49
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	7	4	11	11	2.96
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	37	41	78	58.5	20.97
	Motorcycle	0.75	51	41	92	69	24.73
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	43	36	79	39.5	21.24
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	51	48	99	49.5	26.61
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				194	178	52.15
	Total NMV				178	89	47.85
	Grand Total				372	267	100.00

E) Shikdari

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Shikdari to Jata Pukur	Jata Pukur to Shikdari	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	1	1	3	0.37
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	5	9	14	42	5.19
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	4	2	6	6	2.22
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	17 23	26 44	43 67	32.25 50.25	15.93 24.81
	Rickshaw/Van	0.75	29	47	76	38	28.15
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	24	39	63	31.5	23.33
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV			•		131	134	48.52
	Total NMV				139	70	51.48
	Grand Total				270	203	100

Table E-1: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Shikdari-Jata Pukur link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table E-2: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Shikdari-Jata Pukur link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

			-	ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Shikdari to Jata Pukur	Jata Pukur to Shikdari	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	1	1	3	0.50
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	5	9	14	42	6.97
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	4	2	6	6	2.99
MV	Auto						
	Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	11	16	27	20.25	13.43
	Motorcycle	0.75	14	35	49	36.75	24.38
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	22	36	58	29	28.86
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	16	30	46	23	22.89
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					97	108	48.26
Total NMV					104	52	51.74
	Grand Total				201	160	100

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Shikdari to Bhawaniganj	Bhawaniganj to Shikdari	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	1	9	10	30	2.74
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	5	1	6	6	1.64
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	22 54	27 46	49 100	36.75 75	13.42 27.40
	Rickshaw/Van	0.75	65	61	126	63	34.52
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	38	36	74	37	20.27
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					165	148	45.21
	Total NMV				200	100	54.79
	Grand Total				365	248	100.00

Table E-3: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Shikdari-Bhawaniganj link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table E-4: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Shikdari-Bhawaniganj link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Shikdari to Bhawaniganj	Bhawaniganj to Shikdari	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	1	9	10	30	3.46
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	5	1	6	6	2.08
MV	Auto Rickshaw/ Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	19	17	36	27	12.46
	Motorcycle	0.75	42	34	76	57	26.30
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	53	51	104	52	35.99
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	30	27	57	28.5	19.72
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				128	120	44.29
	Total NMV				161	81	55.71
	Grand Total				289	201	100.00

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Shikdari to Hamir Kuthsa	Hamir Kuthsa to Shikdari	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	7	7	14	42	1.95
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	19	4	23	23	3.21
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	43	80	123	92.25	17.15
	Motorcycle	0.75	110	70	180	135	25.10
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	118	111	229	114.5	31.94
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	82	64	146	73	20.36
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	2	2	6	0.28
	Total MV				340	292	47.42
	Total NMV				377	194	52.58
	Grand Total				717	486	100.00

Table E-5: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Shikdari-Hamir Kuthsa link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table E-6: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Shikdari-Hamir Kuthsa link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport		Shikdari to Hamir Kuthsa	Hamir Kuthsa to Shikdari	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	7	7	14	42	2.28
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	19	4	23	23	3.75
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	32	66	98	73.5	15.96
	Motorcycle	0.75	97	58	155	116.25	25.24
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	104	96	200	100	32.57
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	69	53	122	61	19.87
	Animal Cart/Push Cart		0	2	2	6	0.33
	Total MV				290	255	47.23
	Total NMV				324	167	52.77
	Grand Total				614	422	100.00

			-	ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Shikdari to Atrai	Atrai to Shikdari	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	10	7	17	51	3.14
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	3	13	16	16	2.95
MV	Auto	0.75	- 7	20	06		45.07
	Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	57	29	86	64.5	15.87
	Motorcycle	0.75	59	63	122	91.5	22.51
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	88	80	168	84	31.00
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	59	74	133	66.5	24.54
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					241	223	44.46
Total NMV					301	151	55.54
	Grand Total				542	374	100.00

Table E-7: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Shikdari-Atrai link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table E-8: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Shikdari-Atrai link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection Ime			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Shikdari to Atrai	Atrai to Shikdari	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	10	7	17	51	3.62
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	3	13	16	16	3.41
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	47	20	67	50.25	14.29
	Motorcycle	0.75	44	52	96	72	20.47
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	76	69	145	72.5	30.92
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	57	71	128	64	27.29
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					196	189	41.79
Total NMV					273	137	58.21
	Grand Total				469	326	100.00

F) Hatgang para

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport		Hatgang para to Auchpara	Auchpara to Hatgang para	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	1	0	1	3	0.23
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	9	4	13	39	3.01
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	14	7	21	21	4.86
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	44	28	72	54	16.67
	Motorcycle	0.75	54	60	114	85.5	26.39
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	69	61	130	65	30.09
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	32	49	81	40.5	18.75
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				221	203	51.16
	Total NMV				211	106	48.84
	Grand Total				432	308	100

Table F-1: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hatgang para-Auchpara link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table F-2: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hatgang para-Auchpara link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport		Hatgang para to Auchpara	Auchpara to Hatgang para	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	1	0	1	3	0.28
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	9	4	13	39	3.64
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	14	7	21	21	5.88
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	34	19	53	39.75	14.85
	Motorcycle	0.75	44	47	91	68.25	25.49
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	61	52	113	56.5	31.65
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	23	42	65	32.5	18.21
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				179	171	50.14
	Total NMV				178	89	49.86
	Grand Total				357	260	100

			-	ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Hatgang para to Baigacha	Baigacha to Hatgang para	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	5	8	13	39	2.26
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	0	1	1	1	0.17
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	47	60	107	80.25	18.58
	Motorcycle	0.75	55	90	145	108.75	25.17
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	58	79	137	68.5	23.78
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	75	98	173	86.5	30.03
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
Total MV					266	229	46.18
	Total NMV				310	155	53.82
	Grand Total				576	384	100.00

Table F-3: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hatgang para-Baigacha link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table F-4: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hatgang para-Baigacha link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport		Hatgang para to Baigacha	Baigacha to Hatgang para	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	5	8	13	39	2.71
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	0	1	1	1	0.21
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	35	47	82	61.5	17.12
	Motorcycle	0.75	43	75	118	88.5	24.63
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	48	66	114	57	23.80
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	65	86	151	75.5	31.52
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				214	190	44.68
	Total NMV				265	133	55.32
	Grand Total				479	323	100.00

				ection ame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Hatgang para to Gobinda Para	Gobinda Para to Hatgang para	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	11	3	14	42	3.05
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	15	3	18	18	3.92
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	43	25	68	51	14.81
	Motorcycle	0.75	87	48	135	101.25	29.41
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	93	52	145	72.5	31.59
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	46	33	79	39.5	17.21
	Animal Cart/Push Cart		0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				235	212	51.20
	Total NMV				224	112	48.80
	Grand Total				459	324	100.00

 Table F-5: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hatgang para-Gobinda

 Para link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table F-6: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hatgang para-Gobinda Para link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				rection Jame			
Mode of Transport		PCU	Hatgang para to Gobinda	Gobinda Para to Hatgang para	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	11	3	14	42	3.62
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	15	3	18	18	4.65
MV	Auto Rickshaw/ Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	32	25	57	42.75	14.73
	Motorcycle	0.75	76	35	111	83.25	28.68
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	82	42	124	62	32.04
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	38	25	63	31.5	16.28
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				200	186	51.68
	Total NMV				187	94	48.32
	Grand Total				387	280	100.00

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Hatgang para to Suvodanga	Suvodanga to Hatgang para	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	14	8	22	66	3.46
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	8	15	23	23	3.62
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	56	36	92	69	14.49
	Motorcycle	0.75	71	92	163	122.25	25.67
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	102	93	195	97.5	30.71
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	75	65	140	70	22.05
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				300	280	47.24
	Total NMV				335	168	52.76
	Grand Total				635	448	100.00

Table F-7: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hatgang para-Suvodanga link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table F-8: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Hatgang para-Suvodanga link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Hatgang para to Suvodanga	Suvodanga to Hatgang para	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	14	8	22	66	4.02
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	8	15	23	23	4.20
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	44	28	72	54	13.16
	Motorcycle	0.75	61	78	139	104.25	25.41
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	89	80	169	84.5	30.90
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	66	56	122	61	22.30
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				256	247	46.80
	Total NMV				291	146	53.20
	Grand Total				547	393	100.00

G) Mosmoil

			Direction Name				
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Mosmoil to Bhawaniganj	Bhawaniganj to Mosmoil	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	1	1	3	0.25
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	7	6	13	39	3.19
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	7	3	10	10	2.45
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	45	33	78	58.5	19.12
	Motorcycle	0.75	60	47	107	80.25	26.23
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	51	42	93	46.5	22.79
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	65	41	106	53	25.98
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV		•	•	209	191	51.23
	Total NMV				199	100	48.77
	Grand Total				408	290	100

Table G-1: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mosmoil-Bhawaniganj link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table G-2: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mosmoil-Bhawaniganj link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Mosmoil to Bhawaniganj	Bhawaniganj to Mosmoil	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	1	1	3	0.30
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	7	6	13	39	3.88
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	7	3	10	10	2.99
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	35	26	61	45.75	18.21
	Motorcycle	0.75	49	38	87	65.25	25.97
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	42	33	75	37.5	22.39
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	53	35	88	44	26.27
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				172	163	51.34
	Total NMV				163	82	48.66
	Grand Total				335	245	100

		Direction Name					
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Mosmoil to Basupara	Basupara to Mosmoil	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	6	8	14	42	2.99
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	13	7	20	20	4.27
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	45	24	69	51.75	14.74
	Motorcycle	0.75	75	42	117	87.75	25.00
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	85	30	115	57.5	24.57
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	110	23	133	66.5	28.42
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				220	202	47.01
	Total NMV				248	124	52.99
	Grand Total				468	326	100.00

Table G-3: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mosmoil-Basupara link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table G-4: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mosmoil-Basupara link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

		Direction Name					
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Mosmoil to Basupara	Basupara to Mosmoil	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	6	8	14	42	3.58
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	13	7	20	20	5.12
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	35	16	51	38.25	13.04
	Motorcycle	0.75	63	33	96	72	24.55
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	74	18	92	46	23.53
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	101	17	118	59	30.18
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				181	172	46.29
	Total NMV				210	105	53.71
	Grand Total				391	277	100.00

			-	ection ame			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Mosmoil to Subhadanga	Subhadanga to Mosmoil	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	6	8	14	42	2.99
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	13	7	20	20	4.27
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	45	24	69	51.75	14.74
	Motorcycle	0.75	75	42	117	87.75	25.00
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	85	30	115	57.5	24.57
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	110	23	133	66.5	28.42
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				220	202	47.01
	Total NMV				248	124	52.99
	Grand Total				468	326	100.00

Table G-5: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mosmoil-Subhadanga link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table G-6: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mosmoil-Subhadanga link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

				ection ame			
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Mosmoil to Subhadanga	Subhadanga to Mosmoil	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	6	8	14	42	3.54
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	13	7	20	20	5.06
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon Motorcycle	0.75	37	15	52	39	13.16
	Rickshaw/Van	0.75	63	36	99	74.25	25.06
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	72 100	21 17	93 117	46.5 58.5	23.54 29.62
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV		•		185	175	46.84
	Total NMV				210	105	53.16
	Grand Total				395	280	100.00

		Direction Name					
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Mosmoil to Gonipur	Gonipur to Mosmoil	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	5	6	11	33	2.34
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	4	4	8	8	1.70
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	55	49	104	78	22.13
	Motorcycle	0.75	63	49	112	84	23.83
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	65	45	110	55	23.40
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	69	56	125	62.5	26.60
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				235	203	50.00
	Total NMV				235	118	50.00
	Grand Total				470	321	100.00

Table G-7: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mosmoil-Gonipur link during On Day, 2nd April, 2016.

Table G-8: Hourly Traffic Volume according to the Vehicle Types for Mosmoil-Gonipur link during Off Day, 5th April, 2016.

		Direction Name					
	Mode of Transport	PCU	Mosmoil to Gonipur	Gonipur to Mosmoil	Total Vehicle /Hour	Total PCU/ Hour	Percentage
	Bus/Minibus	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Heavy Truck/Light Truck	3	5	6	11	33	2.60
	Car/ Micro Bus/Jeep	1	4	4	8	8	1.89
MV	Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon	0.75	46	41	87	65.25	20.57
	Motorcycle	0.75	63	49	112	84	26.48
	Rickshaw/Van	0.5	65	45	110	55	26.00
NMV	Bicycle	0.5	51	44	95	47.5	22.46
	Animal Cart/Push Cart	3	0	0	0	0	0.00
	Total MV				218	190	51.54
	Total NMV				205	103	48.46
	Grand Total				423	293	100.00

ORIGIN AND DESTINATION SURVEY

Table B-1: Types of Mode

Types of Vehicle	Frequency	Percent
Truck	2	8.3
Bus	1	4.2
Car/Pickup/Jeep/Motorbus	1	4.2
Auto Rickshaw/Tempo	9	37.5
Motorcycle	6	25
Rickshaw/Van	2	8.3
Bicycle	3	12.5
Total	24	100

Table B-2: Trip Purpose

Trip Purpose	Frequency	Percent
Work/Commute	15	62.5
Business Related	1	4.2
Shopping	2	8.3
Education	3	12.5
Social	3	12.5
Total	24	100

Table B-3: Frequency of Passengers Occupancy

No. of people in vehicle	Frequency	Percent
Within 5 persons	13	54.2
6 to 10 persons	6	25
11 to 20 persons	4	16.7
31 to 40 persons	1	4.2
Total	24	100

Table B-4: Nature of Origin

Origin Type	Frequency	Percent
Residence	8	33.3
Workplace	11	45.8
Shopping	2	8.3
School/College/University	3	12.5
Total	24	100

Destination Type	Frequency	Percent
Residence	4	16.7
Workplace	14	58.3
Shopping	2	8.3
School/College/University	1	4.2
Social	2	8.3
Recreational	1	4.2
Total	24	100

Table B-5: Nature of Destination

Table B-6: Origin and Destination Pattern

	Destination							
Origin		Residence	Workplace	Shopping	School/College/University	Social	Recreational	Total
	Frequency	1	5	1	0	0	1	8
Residence	Percentage	12.50%	62.50%	12.50%	0.00%	0.00%	12.50%	100.00%
	Frequency	2	7	0	1	1	0	11
Workplace	Percentage	18.20%	63.60%	0.00%	9.10%	9.10%	0.00%	100.00%
	Frequency	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
Shopping	Percentage	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	Frequency	1	1	0	0	1	0	3
School/College/University	Percentage	33.30%	33.30%	0.00%	0.00%	33.30%	0.00%	100.00%
	Frequency	4	14	2	1	2	1	24
Total	Percentage	16.70%	58.30%	8.30%	4.20%	8.30%	4.20%	100.00%

APPENDIX-B

Table B-7: Origin and Destination Matrix

Destination											
Origin	Atrai	Bwabanigonj	Dewlia	Gangepara	Jhikra	Kaligonj	Keshorhat	Machmoil	Puthia	Rajshahi	Total
Atrai	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Bwabanigonj	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dewlia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gangepara	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Jhikra	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Kaligonj	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Keshorhat	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Machmoil	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Puthia	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Rajshahi	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	0	11	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	14

PASSENGERS INTERVIEW SURVEY

Trip Purpose	Frequency	Percent
Work/Commute	7	16.3
Business		
Related	9	20.9
Shopping	9	20.9
Education	11	25.6
Social	6	14
Recreation	1	2.3
Total	43	100

Table B-8: Trip Purpose of Passengers

Table B-9: Age of the Respondent

Age	Frequency	Percent
Below 15 years	1	2.3
16-20 years	7	16.3
21-30 years	16	37.2
31-40 years	12	27.9
41-50 years	3	7
Above 51 years	4	9.3
Total	43	100

Table B-10: Travel Cost for Passengers

Travel Cost	Frequency	Percent
Within 50 taka	5	26.3
51 to 100 taka	4	21.1
101 to 150 taka	7	36.8
151 to 200 taka	3	15.8
Total	19	100

Table B-11: Travel Distance for Passengers

Travel Distance	Frequency	Percent
Within 5 km	5	25
6 to 10 km	5	25
11 to 20 km	4	20
21 to 30 km	5	25
More than 30 km	1	5
Total	20	100

	Gender			
Age		Male	Female	Total
	Frequency	0	1	1
Below 15 years	Percentage	0.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Frequency	4	3	7
16-20 years	Percentage	57.10%	42.90%	100.00%
	Frequency	13	3	16
21-30 years	Percentage	81.30%	18.80%	100.00%
	Frequency	10	2	12
31-40 years	Percentage	83.30%	16.70%	100.00%
	Frequency	3	0	3
41-50 years	Percentage	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	Frequency	3	1	4
Above 51 years	Percentage	75.00%	25.00%	100.00%
	Frequency	33	10	43
Total	Percentage	76.70%	23.30%	100.00%

Table B-12: Age Distribution according to the Gender

Table B-13: Trip Purpose according to the Age Distribution

Trip	Purpose	Work/Com	Business	Shopp	Educa		Recre	
Age		mute	Related	ing	tion	Social	ation	Total
	Freque							
	ncy	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Below 15	Percent				100.00	0.00		100.0
years	age	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%	%	0.00%	0%
	Freque							
	ncy	0	0	1	6	0	0	7
16-20	Percent			14.30	85.70	0.00		100.0
years	age	0.00%	0.00%	%	%	%	0.00%	0%
	Freque							
	ncy	4	3	3	3	2	1	16
21-30	Percent			18.80	18.80	12.50		100.0
years	age	25.00%	18.80%	%	%	%	6.30%	0%
	Freque							
	ncy	3	3	3	1	2	0	12
31-40	Percent			25.00		16.70		100.0
years	age	25.00%	25.00%	%	8.30%	%	0.00%	0%
	Freque							
	ncy	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
41-50	Percent			66.70		0.00		100.0
years	age	0.00%	33.30%	%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0%
	Freque							
	ncy	0	2	0	0	2	0	4
Above 51	Percent					50.00		100.0
years	age	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%	0.00%	0%
	Freque							
Total	ncy	7	9	9	11	6	1	43

P	Percent			20.90	25.60	14.00		100.0
	age	16.30%	20.90%	%	%	%	2.30%	0%

	Distance	Within 5	6 to 10	11 to 20	21 to 30	
Travel Cost		km	km	km	km	Total
	Frequency	2	1	0	2	5
Within 50	Percentag					100.00
taka	e	40.00%	20.00%	0.00%	40.00%	%
	Frequency	2	1	0	0	3
	Percentag					100.00
51 to 100 taka	е	66.70%	33.30%	0.00%	0.00%	%
	Frequency	1	1	3	2	7
101 to 150	Percentag					100.00
taka	е	14.30%	14.30%	42.90%	28.60%	%
	Frequency	0	2	0	0	2
151 to 200	Percentag					100.00
taka	е	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%
	Frequency	5	5	3	4	17
	Percentag					100.00
Total	e	29.40%	29.40%	17.60%	23.50%	%

Table B-14: Trip Cost according to the Distance

Urban Development Directorate PREPARATION OF DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR FOURTEEN UPAZILAS (PACKAGE: 02): UDD

Bus/ Boat or Launch/ Train Passenger Interview Survey Questionnaire

Name of Upazila	:				
Date	:				
Time of Interview	:				
Location of Interview point	:				
A. Present Address of th	e respondent				
B. Sex: (a) Ma	ale ((b) Female			
C. Age: 1. Below 15 years	2. 16-20 Years	3. 21-30 Years	4. 31-40 Years	5. 41-50 Years	6. Above 51 Years
D. Where did your trip	begin?				
E. Where did your trip	end point?				
F. What was the purpos	e of your trip	?			
1. Work/Commute 2. Busine	ss related 3. S	Shopping	4. Education	5. Social	6. Recreation
G. No. of trips in a week	?				
H. How many times you	changed mod	es to comple	te this trip?	1 2	3
I. What are types of mo	des you used t	to complete t	the trip?		
1. Bus 2. Motor cycle 3. Ri	ckshaw 4. Va	an 5. Rail	6. Boat/Launch	5. On foot	6. Others (specify)
J. Total travel time of the trip?(In min/hour)					
K. Total costs of the trip	?				(In Taka)
L. Total distances of the	e trip?				(In k.m.)
M. Any comments on transportation?					
Name of Enumerator:	Name of Enumerator: Name of Supervisor:				
Signature of Enumerator:		S	ignature of Supe	ervisor:	

Urban Development Directorate PREPARATION OF DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR FOURTEEN UPAZILAS (PAGKAGE-02):UDD

Traffic and Transportation Survey

Traffic Volume Count Tally Sheet

(24 Hours long) Weather condition

Name of Upazila:			Date:
Route Name:			Hours counted: Startam/pm, Finisham/pm
Traffic Direction:	From	to	Intersection Name:

Type of traffic	Number of Traffic	Total
Bus/Minibus		
Heavy Truck/ Light Truck		
Car/Micro-bus/Jeep		
Auto Rickshaw/Tempo/Nosimon		
Motorcycle		
Rickshaw/Van		
Bicycle		
Animal cart/Push cart		
Pedestrian		
Others (specify)		

Name of Enumerator	
Signature of Enumerator	

Name of Supervisor	
Signature of Supervisor	

Urban Development Directorate PREPARATION OF DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR FOURTEEN UPAZILAS (Package: 02): UDD

Roadside Interview Survey (O-D Survey) Questionnaire

	Time: Every half an Hour Interval (24 hours clock)
Name of Upazila:	Date:
Route Name:	
Traffic Direction: From	to
A. Vehicle Type:	
1. Truck 2. Bus 3. Car/Pickup/Jeep/Motorbus	4. Auto Rickshaw/Tempo5. Motorcycle6. Rickshaw/Van7. Bicycle
B. Where did your trip begin?	City/Town
C. What type of place is your trip start point?	
1. Residence2. Workplace3. Shopping	4. School/College/University5. Social6. Recreational
D. Where did your trip end?	City/Town
E. What type of place is your trip end point?	
1. Residence2. Workplace3. Shopping	4. School/College/University5. Social6. Recreational
F. What was the purpose of your trip?	
1. Work/Commute2. Business related	3. Shopping4. Education5. Social6. Recreation
G. How many people were in the vehicle include	ling the driver? No. of people
H. Any comments on Transportation?	

Name of Enumerator:	
Signature of Enumerator:	

Name of Supervisor:
Signature of Supervisor:

Urban Development Directorate PREPARATION OF DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR FOURTEEN UPAZILAS (PACKAGE: 02): UDD

Questionnaire on Regional Transportation Network System

Name of Upazila	:
Date of survey	•

A. Information of trip going out from study area to other region (upazila/district)

1) Type of Mode (Bus/Truck/Train/Water way):

(Response will be collected from every mode)

- 2) Name of trip destination point (Upazila/District):
- 3) No. of trips per day (hour basis)
- 4) Average no. of passengers carried by per mode (per trip):
- 5) Types of goods carried by per mode (per trip):

B. Information of trip <u>coming into study area from other region (upazila/district)</u>

1) Type of Mode (Bus/Truck/Train/Water way):

(Response will be collected from every mode)

- 2) Name of trip origin point (Upazila/District):
- 3) No. of trips per day (hour basis)
- 4) Average no. of passengers carried by per mode (per trip):
- 5) Types of goods carried by per mode (per trip):
- 6) Stoppage area inside the upazila area

Package 03

2.2		21
3.2	Origin and Destination Survey	21
	3.2.1 Trip Distribution Pattern	21
	3.2.2 Mode Choice	22
	3.2.3 Purpose of Trip	22
	3.2.4 Origin Destination Behavior	23
	3.2.5 Passengers density in different vehicle mode	24
	3.2.6 Major Prioritized Problems	24
3.3	Passenger Interview Survey	25
	3.3.1 Trip Purpose	25
	3.3.2 Respondents Distribution	26
	3.3.3 Age Distribution according to the Gender	26
	3.3.4 Age group and trip purpose	27
	3.3.5 Travel cost and travel distance	28
3.4	Regional Transport Survey	29
	3.4.1 Regional connectivity with surrounding regions	29
	3.4.2 Travel pattern of Buses	29
	3.4.3 Travel pattern of Trucks	29
Chaj	pter 4: Conclusion	30
References		R-1

LIST OF TABLE

Table 1.1: Zone wise Road Length	Pages 4
Table 1.2: Existing Road Infrastructure of Bagmara Upazila Table 1.3: Basic Info on Road no. N6	4 4
Table 1.4: Major Roads of Bagmara Upazila Table 1.5: Regional Road Network	5 5
Table 1.6: Traffic and Other Info of N6	5
Table 1.7: List of members in Traffic and Transportation Survey	7
Table 2.1: List of PCU value for various VehiclesTable 2.2: Output and methodology of the conducted surveyTable 3.1: Surveyed Traffic Volume Count Locations	11 13 17
Table 3.2: PCU and Traffic Volume at Intersections	20
Table 3.3: Origin Destination Matrix Table 3.4: Origin Destination Behavior	21 23

Preparation of Development Plan for Fourteen Upazilas

Package 03	Traffic and Transportation Survey of Bagmara Upazila	
Table 3.5: Facing problems in Transportation	24	
Table 3.6: Trip production purpose according to the age gr	oup 27	
Table 3.7: Travel Cost according to the Distance	28	
Table 3.8: Regional Road Information of Bagmara	29	

LIST OF FIGURE

	Pages
Figure 2.1: Working Methodology in Flow Chart	9
Figure 3.1: Types of Mode at Hamir Kuthsa Intersection	18
Figure 3.2: Percentages of MV and NMV at Hatgang para Intersection	18
Figure 3.3: Percentages of MV and NMV at Taherpur Intersection	19
Figure 3.4: Types of Mode	22
Figure 3.5: Purpose of Trip	22
Figure 3.6: Occupancy of passengers in vehicle	24
Figure 3.7: Percentages of trip purpose	25
Figure 3.8: Percentages of Age Distribution of Passengers	26
Figure 3.9: Percentages of Age Distribution of Passengers according to Gender	26

LIST OF MAP

PagesMap 1.1: Regional Connectivity of Bagmara Upazila2Map 1.2: Regional Connectivity of Rajshahi Circle3Map 1.3: Road Map of Bagmara Upazila8Map 2.1: Location of Traffic Volume Count at Bagmara Upazila14Map 2.2: Location of O D Survey at Bagmara Upazila15Map 2.3: Location of Passenger Interview Survey at Bagmara Upazila16